



**STRAND**  
ASSOCIATES®

Excellence in Engineering Since 1946

# Biosolids Dewatering Equipment Comparisons

**WWOA Annual Operators' Meeting**

**Vern Witthuhn, P.E. – Strand Associates, Inc.  
October 5, 2015**

# Common Dewatering Equipment

## Belt Filter Press

- Advantages
  - Low power consumption
  - Low polymer consumption
  - Low speed equipment
    - Fewer specialized maintenance requirements
  - High wash water requirements
- Disadvantages
  - Humid and odorous atmosphere
    - Operator Exposure
    - Corrosion Potential
  - Lower cake solids
  - Higher operator attention required



# Common Dewatering Equipment

## Centrifuge

- Advantages
  - Contained equipment, improved atmosphere
  - High cake solids
  - Low wash water requirements
  - Reduced operator attention required
  - Small footprint
- Disadvantages
  - High power consumption
  - High polymer consumption
  - High speed equipment
    - Potential specialized maintenance requirements
  - Potential for higher centrate solids



# Common Dewatering Equipment

## Screw Press

- Advantages
  - Low power consumption
  - Low speed equipment
- Disadvantages
  - Humid and odorous atmosphere
    - Operator Exposure
    - Corrosion
  - Lower Cake Solids
  - High polymer consumption



# Common Dewatering Equipment

## Rotary Fan Press

- Advantages
  - Low power consumption
  - Low speed equipment
  - Low capital cost
  - Low operator attention required
  - High cake solids
  - Low wash water requirement
- Disadvantages
  - High polymer consumption
  - Limited throughput
    - Suitable for smaller installations



# Alternative Dewatering Approach

## Sludge Drying Beds

- Advantages
  - No power consumption
  - Very low maintenance requirements (facility dependent)
  - Very high cake solids achievable (40%)
- Disadvantages
  - Sometimes labor intensive
  - Weather-dependent operation
  - Space requirement
  - Odor potential
  - Appearance



# Illinois WWTP Dewatering Evaluation

- 3.5 mgd design average flow
- Extensive Renovations
  - Remove Primary Clarifiers
  - Expand Aeration Tanks
    - Use Adjacent Aerobic Digester Tanks
  - Convert Anaerobic Digesters to Aerobic Digesters
  - Demolish Sludge Drying Beds
  - Implement Mechanical Dewatering
  - Screw Press Pilot



# Screw Press Pilot

- Trailer Mounted Unit
- 12 gpm sludge flow rate
- Aerobically digested sludge
- 3.0% TS feed sludge
- Achieved 22.4% dry solids (average)
- 28 lbs active polymer per dry ton



# Centrifuge vs. Screw Press Comparison

	Screw Press	Centrifuge
Number of Units	2	1
Flow Rate (gpm)	50	157
Operating hours/wk	56	18
Polymer (lb active/ton)	28	15
Power (each)	2.5	75
Annual O&M	\$112,000	\$81,000
Capital Cost (including equipment, building, storage)	\$2,934,000	\$3,142,000
Total Present Worth	\$4,079,000	\$3,845,000

# Wisconsin WWTP Dewatering Evaluation

- 2.3 mgd design average flow
- Heavy Industrial Loads
  - 4,400 lb/day BOD
  - 3,500 lb/day TSS
- Anaerobic Digestion
- Currently Use Belt Filter Press
  - In need of replacement or reconditioning
- Limited Cake Storage Capacity
- Evaluate Replacement Options
  - Recondition Belt Filter Press
  - Centrifuge
  - Rotary Fan Press

# Alternatives Comparison

	Recond. Belt Press	Fan Press	Centrifuge
Polymer (lb active/ton)	22	22	22
Power (each)	5	2.5	75
Cake Solids	17.5%	20%	24%
Additional Storage Required?	Yes	No	No
Annual O&M	\$173,000	\$121,000	\$121,000
Capital Cost	\$551,000	\$1,099,000	\$1,057,000
Total Present Worth	\$3,204,000	\$2,940,000	\$2,900,000

Owner Selected Centrifuge

# Illinois WWTP Dewatering Evaluation

- 25 mgd design average flow
- Anaerobic Digestion
- 98,000 gpd digested sludge
- Currently Use Belt Filter Presses (3)
  - In need of replacement or reconditioning
- Limited Cake Storage Capacity
- Evaluate Replacement Options
  - Replace Belt Filter Press
  - Centrifuge
  - Screw Press

# Alternatives Comparison

	Belt Press	Centrifuge	Screw Press
Number of Units	3	2	3
Flow Rate (gpm)	130	300	225
Operating hours/wk	88	38	51
Polymer (lb active/ton)	24	30	35
Connected Power (hp)	29	182	43
Cake Solids	16%	23%	21%
Annual O&M	\$1,277,000	\$1,126,000	\$1,169,000
Capital Cost	\$6,638,000	\$5,592,000	\$5,347,000
Total Present Worth	\$18,191,000	\$15,575,000	\$17,033,000





**STRAND**  
ASSOCIATES®

Excellence in Engineering Since 1946